

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,149	03/04/2002		Baokang Yang	19400/09014	5923
1095	1095 7590 10/22/2007			EXAMINER	
NOVARTI CORPORA	S TE INTELLECTUA	AL PROPERTY			
ONE HEALTH PLAZA 104/3				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST HAN	OVER, NJ 07936	-1080			
				DATE MAIL ED. 10/22/2009	7

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37) Examiner Drew E. Becker Application No. 10/091,149 YANG, BAOKANG Art Unit 1794 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>21 September 2007</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED LINDER 37 CER 1 136** 

		OIONS OF THIS THE PERIOD WAT BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.
1. [		The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. [		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. [	$\boxtimes$	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. [		(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function unde 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5.	$\boxtimes$	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6.	$\boxtimes$	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. [		The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. [		The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner <b>and relied upon by appellant in the appeal</b> , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. [		The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).

The sections should be numbered with the respective Roman numerals as done in MPEP 1205. The "Status of Amendments" does not identify the after-final amendment (ie date and content). The "Summary" does not identify support for: 0.5% protein, 1% carbohydrate, or 0.01% edible acids. The "Grounds of Rejection" should simply state the basis for the rejections (ie rejected under 103(a) by A in view B), should not include applicant's arguments, and should only state the rejections that are to be argued. The "Argument" only argues the rejection of claim 1, even though claim

21 is listed separately in the "Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal" section.

Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

PRIA

MINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-462 (Rev. 7-05)

10.🖂

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)

Part of Paper No. 20071018